**UNIVERSITY OF ILORIN, ILORIN, NIGERIA**

 PGS Form 10B/2013 (Amended 2019)



**THE POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL**

**EVALUATION FORM FOR DOCTORAL RESEARCH PROTOCOL**

**Part A – General Information**

1. Date of Meeting:…………….………………………………………………........................................

2. Surname of Candidate:….………………………………………………............................................

*(in block letters)*

3. Other Names in Full:……………………………………………………………………….…...………

4. Matriculation Number:……….…………………………………………………………………………..

5. Date of First Registration:…………………………………………………………………...…………..

6. Faculty:……….……….………………………………………….……………………………………..

7. Department:….……….………………………………………….……………………………………..

8. Degree in View:……….………….……………………………….……………………………………..

9. Mode of Study:………………………………………………………………………………………….

10. Name(s) of Supervisor(s):……….……………………………….……………………………………..

11. Proposed Title of Thesis:..…………………….………………….……………………………………..

……………………………………………….…………………………………………………………..

……………………………………………….…………………………………………………………..

**PART B – Protocol Assessment**

**Excellent = 4; Very Good = 3; Good = 2; Poor = 1; Very Poor = 0**

| **Numbering** | **Items** | **Score** | **Comments (if any)** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **1.** | **Project Title** |  |  |
|  | * Appropriate (Well written)
 |  |  |
|  | * Essential elements of project/study reflected
 |  |  |
|  | * Title is not more than 18 words
 |  |  |
| **2.** | **Background/Introduction** |  |  |
|  | * Establishes the context of the study
 |  |  |
|  | * Based on literature/experience/expressed need/expert opinion
 |  |  |
| **3.** | **Problem Statement** |  |  |
|  | * Problem/Rationale/Justification well stated
 |  |  |
|  | * Researchable
 |  |  |
|  | * Indicates knowledge gap
 |  |  |
|  | * Appropriate
 |  |  |
| **4.** | **Research Aims/Objective/Purpose** |  |  |
|  | * Clearly stated
 |  |  |
|  | * Scope and scale appropriate for the study and class of degree
 |  |  |
|  | * Consistent with problem/rationale/ justification
 |  |  |
|  | * Study is feasible
 |  |  |
| **5.** | **Contributions and Significance of the Study** |  |  |
|  | * Unique contribution to the field as doctoral study stated
 |  |  |
|  | * Expected outcomes are stated
 |  |  |
|  | * Benefits and beneficiaries identified
 |  |  |
| **6.** | **Literature Review** |  |  |
|  | * Sufficiently comprehensive for the proposal
 |  |  |
|  | * Addresses problems/rationale/justification identified
 |  |  |
|  | * Relevant sources used
 |  |  |
|  | * Adopts critical approach to the review (presence of researcher’s voice)
 |  |  |
|  | * Demonstrates appropriate understanding of models, paradigms and theories in the domain
 |  |  |
|  | * Well presented/Appraised
 |  |  |
|  | * Citations are appropriate
 |  |  |
|  | * Presentation is logical and coherent
 |  |  |
| **7.** | **Research Methodology** |  |  |
|  | * Appropriate to the research problem
 |  |  |
|  | * Can accomplish research objectives
 |  |  |
|  | * Locates study in a particular paradigm
 |  |  |
|  | * Methods are appropriate to the chosen paradigm
 |  |  |
|  | * Sampling procedure adequate
 |  |  |
|  | * Data management plan appropriate to type of variables
 |  |  |
|  | * Ethical approval included where applicable
 |  |  |
| **8.** | **Plan for Dissemination of Findings** |  |  |
|  | * Plan for dissemination included
 |  |  |
|  | * Key stakeholders identified
 |  |  |
| **9.** |  **Time-line** |
|  | * Included and detailed
 |  |  |
|  | * Logical in sequence
 |  |  |
|  | * Feasible for the proposed project/study
 |  |  |
| **10.** | **Budget** |  |  |
|  | * Relevant cost items indicated
 |  |  |
|  | * Sources of funding indicated
 |  |  |
| **11.** | **Technical Quality** |  |  |
|  | * Impersonal and scientific language used
 |  |  |
|  | * Appropriate style
 |  |  |
|  | * Grammatical accuracy (grammar, spelling, punctuation, etc)
 |  |  |
|  | * Approved referencing style used
 |  |  |
|  | * 1:1 correspondence between citations in the text and references compiled
 |  |  |
|  | * Tables, graphs, figures and models appropriate and properly composed where applicable
 |  |  |
| **12.** | **Supervision** |  |  |
|  | * Supervisor(s) indicated
 |  |  |
|  | * Protocol endorsed by the Supervisor(s), Departmental PG Coordinator and Head of Department
 |  |  |
|  | * Supervisor(s) has/have the expertise
 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **Total Point Obtained** |  |  |

**PART C – Final Assessment**

We have examined the above-named candidate for the ……………………………………………….

………………………………………………………………………………………. of the University of Ilorin and based on his/her research protocol, we hereby recommend\*

1. Approved. No further amendment is required before processing to the Postgraduate School.**(Score = 168 – 192)**
2. Approved subject to minor amendments. Candidate to submit corrected research protocol to Departmental PG Coordinator for processing to the Postgraduate School

 **(Score = 120 – 167)**

1. Not approved. Major corrections required and research protocol to be represented to the Departmental Postgraduate Committee. **(Score = 96 – 119)**
2. Rejected. Candidate to develop a different research protocol to defend before the Departmental Postgraduate Committee. **(Score = 48 – 95)**

***\*Please tick, sign and date the appropriate option chosen***

 Name Signature Date

1. …………………………………………. …………………………………… ………………………….

 *Relevant Internal/External Examiner*

2. …………………………………………. …………………………………… ………………………….

 *Head of Department and Chief Internal*

 *Examiner*

3. …………………………………………. …………………………………… ………………………….

 *Relevant Internal Examiner within the*

 *Department*

4. …………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………....

 *Supervisor and Internal Examiner*

5. …………………………………………. …………………………………… ………………………….

 *Departmental Postgraduate Programmes*

 *Coordinator*