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**EXAMINERS’ REPORT FOR THE DEGREE OF Ph.D./M.D.**

**Part A – General Information**

1. Surname of Candidate:…………………………………………………………………………….

*(in block letters)*

1. Other Names in Full: ………………………………………………………Sex:…………………..
2. Matriculation Number:……………………………………………………………………………….
3. Faculty: …………………………………………………………………………………………………..
4. Department: …………………………………………………………………………………………….
5. Degree in View: ………………………………………………………………………………………..
6. Date of First Registration (dd/mm/yyyy): …………………………………………………….
7. Extension with Date(s) (Attach Letters of Approval): …………………………………..…

………………………………………………………………………………………………………………

………………………………………………………………………………………………………………

1. Title of Thesis:…………………………………………………………………………………………

………………………………………………………………………………………………………………

………………………………………………………………………………………………………………

**PART B – COURSE WORK (if applicable)**

1. Results:……………………………………………………………………………………………………
2. Remarks: …………………………………………………………………………………………………

………………………………………………………………………………………………………………

………………………………………………………………………………………………………………

**PART C – Thesis Evaluation**

**Excellent = 4; Very Good = 3; Good = 2; Poor = 1; Very Poor = 0**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Numbering** | **Criteria** | **Score** | **Comments(if any)** |
| **A** | **Abstract** |  |  |
|  | * Appropriate (Well written) |  |  |
| **B** | **Formulation/Statement of the Problem(s)/**  **Rationale/Justification** | | |
|  | * Well written |  |  |
| **C** | **Research goal/Hypotheses/Question(s)** | | |
|  | * Well stated |  |  |
|  | * Relevant |  |  |
| **D** | **Aim(s)/Objective(s)/Purpose(s)** | | |
|  | * Clear |  |  |
|  | * Relevant |  |  |
|  | * Achievable |  |  |
| **E** | **Literature Review** | | |
|  | * Relevant |  |  |
|  | * Current |  |  |
|  | * Authoritative sources |  |  |
|  | * Correct interpretation |  |  |
|  | * Critical appraisal of literature |  |  |
|  | * Appropriate theoretical postulation/conceptual framework |  |  |
|  | * Logical organization |  |  |
| **F** | **Research Methodology** | | |
|  | * Logically derived from objective(s) |  |  |
|  | * Appropriate choice of design |  |  |
| **Numbering** | **Criteria** | **Score** | **Comments (if any)** |
|  | * Relevant Research instrument(s) |  |  |
|  | * Relevant Method of Data Collection |  |  |
| **G** | **Results** | | |
|  | * Accurate Data Analysis |  |  |
|  | * Accurate Interpretation of Analyzed Data |  |  |
|  | * Findings Well Identified/   Engineering Design Considerations |  |  |
| **H** | **Discussion and Conclusions** | | |
|  | * Clear Discussion |  |  |
|  | * Logical Comments |  |  |
|  | * Discussion connected to the literature |  |  |
|  | * Proper conclusions drawn |  |  |
|  | * Conclusions substantiated |  |  |
|  | * Contributions to knowledge |  |  |
|  | * Implications for scholarship identified |  |  |
| **I** | **Recommendations** | | |
|  | * Relevance to the significance/rationale of the study |  |  |
|  | * Relevance to research findings |  |  |
|  | * Suggestions for further research (relevance to the limitations/scope of the study) |  |  |
| **J** | **Structure, Language and Style of Thesis** | | |
|  | * Logical organization of ideas |  |  |
|  | * Correct grammar |  |  |
|  | * Scientific/academic style |  |  |
|  | * Clarity of ideas |  |  |
| **K** | **Referencing/Bibliographic Methods** | | |
|  | * Appropriate citations within the text |  |  |
|  | * Correspondence of citations within text |  |  |
|  | * Consistency of referencing style |  |  |
| **Numbering** | **Criteria** | **Score** | **Comments (if any)** |
| **L** | **Originality, Creativity and Publishability** | | |
|  | * Responsibility for Statement |  |  |
|  | * Problem solving/Value adding contributions |  |  |
|  | * Publishability |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **Sub-Total for Part C** |  |  |

General Comments

|  |
| --- |
| 1. The thesis has sufficient merit for the oral examination to be conducted. 2. The thesis, in its present form, does not have sufficient merit for the oral examination to be conducted.   \*Please cross out whichever is NOT applicable |

Name of Examiner:…………………………………………………………………………………………………..

Signature:……………………………………………………………………………………………………………….

Date:………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………

**PART D – Oral Presentation/Defence of Thesis**

**Excellent = 4; Very Good = 3; Good = 2; Poor = 1; Very Poor = 0**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Numbering** | **Criteria** | **Score** | **Comments (if any)** |
| **A** | **Communication Skills/Language of Expression** | | |
|  | * Clear |  |  |
|  | * Fluent/Articulate |  |  |
| **B** | **Knowledge of the subject Matter/Thesis** | | |
|  | * Adequate |  |  |
|  | * Relevant |  |  |
| **C** | **Ability to Answer Questions from the Panel** | | |
|  | * Adequate |  |  |
| **D** | **Personality Disposition** | | |
|  | * Comportment |  |  |
|  | * Confidence |  |  |
|  | * Enthusiasm |  |  |
|  | * Appearance/Dressing |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **Sub-Total for Part D** |  |  |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Total (Parts C & D)** |  |  |

**PART E – Recommendation**

General Remarks

|  |
| --- |
| Based on the content of the Thesis and the performance of the candidate during the Oral Examination, the Panel of Examiners recommends that SURNAME, Other Names (Matric Number) be awarded the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in ………………………, subject to effecting the major corrections pointed out to him/her during the Oral Examination to be made to the satisfaction of the External and Internal Examiners. |

**Examiners’ Recommendation**

We have examined the above-named candidate for the degree of ……………………………………

…………………………………………………………………………………………….of the University of Ilorin and based on his/her thesis, we hereby recommend\*

1. that the candidate be awarded the degree (Note: only minor editorial corrections are allowed). **(Excellent = 180 – 200)**
2. that the candidate be awarded the degree, subject to minor corrections to be made to the satisfaction of the Internal Examiners only**. (Very Good = 140 – 179)**
3. that the candidate be awarded the degree, subject to major corrections to be made to the satisfaction of both the External and the Internal Examiners. **(Good = 100 – 139)**
4. that the candidate be referred in the examination. **(Poor = 50 – 99)**
5. that the candidate should not be awarded the degree. **(Very Poor = 0 – 49)**

*\*(Please delete whichever is not applicable and the External Examiner should sign with date on the left margin against the option chosen)*
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*Supervisor and Internal Examiner*
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*Departmental Postgraduate Programmes*

*Coordinator and Internal Examiner*